

Piecewise Coapproximation and the Whitney Inequality¹

M. G. Pleshakov and A. V. Shatalina

Saratov State University, 83, Astrakhanskaja, Saratov 410026, Russia

Communicated by Dany Leviatan

Received March 26, 1997; accepted in revised form January 31, 2000

All cases of the validity of the piecewise q -monotone analog of the Whitney inequality are clarified. For similar analogs of the Jackson inequality negative results are proved. © 2000 Academic Press

Key Words: coapproximation; polynomial approximation.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is known that piecewise monotone analogues of the Whitney inequality sometimes are true and sometimes are false; see, e.g., [5, 12, 13] for the details. We are going to investigate the same problem here for the piecewise q -monotone case with $q > 1$, in particular when $q = 2$, for piecewise convex approximation. To this end we formulate four Whitney-type propositions and investigate all cases of their validity. We also prove some negative results for two Jackson-type propositions. For some other negative results see Zhou [15]. For the “pure” (that is not piecewise) q -monotone approximation with $q > 1$, see, e.g., [12].

2. NOTATIONS AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULTS

2.1. Notations

Let $\mathbb{I} := [-1, 1]$; $\mathbb{C}^{(0)} := \mathbb{C}$ be the space of continuous functions $f: \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with the uniform norm

$$\|f\| = \max_{x \in \mathbb{I}} |f(x)|;$$

¹ This work was supported by RFBR, the grant 99–01–01120, and partially the program 00–15–96123.

let \mathbb{P}_n be the space of algebraic polynomials of degree $\leq n$; and let

$$E_n(f) := \inf_{p_n \in \mathbb{P}_n} \|f - p_n\|$$

be the error of the best uniform approximation of $f \in \mathbb{C}$; $\mathbb{C}^{(r)} := \{f : f^{(r)} \in \mathbb{C}\}$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $s \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by \mathbb{Y}_s the set of all collections $Y := \{y_i\}_{i=1}^s$ of s distinct points y_i , such that $-1 < y_s < \dots < y_1 < 1$. For each $Y = \{y_i\}_{i=1}^s \in \mathbb{Y}_s$ put

$$\Pi(x) := \Pi(x; Y) := \prod_{i=1}^s (x - y_i).$$

Set $\mathbb{Y} := \bigcup_{s=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Y}_s$. Let $Y \in \mathbb{Y}$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$. For $f \in \mathbb{C}^{(q)}$ we will write $f \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y)$, iff

$$f^{(q)}(x) \Pi(x; Y) \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{I}.$$

For $f \in \mathbb{C}$ (not necessarily $f \in \mathbb{C}^{(q)}$) we will write $f \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y)$, iff for every $v = 0, \dots, s$ and for each collection of $q+1$ points $z_{j,v} \in [y_{v+1}, y_v]$, $j = 0, \dots, q$, the inequality

$$(-1)^v [z_{0,v}, \dots, z_{q,v}; f] \geq 0$$

holds, where $y_0 := 1$, $y_{s+1} := -1$, and

$$[t_0, \dots, t_m; f]$$

is the divided difference of order m of a function f at the knots t_0, \dots, t_m . Evidently, when $f \in \mathbb{C}^{(q)}$, both definitions of $\Delta^{(q)}(Y)$ coincide. Note that $\Delta^{(1)}(Y)$ is the set of piecewise monotone functions on \mathbb{I} and $\Delta^{(2)}(Y)$ is the set of piecewise convex functions on \mathbb{I} .

For $Y \in \mathbb{Y}$ and $f \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y)$ set

$$E_n^{(q)}(f; Y) := \inf_{p_n \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y) \cap \mathbb{P}_n} \|f - p_n\|,$$

the error of best uniform piecewise q -monotone approximation of f .

Finally denote by

$$\omega_k(f, t) := \sup_{h \in [0, t]} \max_{x \in [-1, 1 - kh]} \left| \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} f(x + jh) \right|, \quad t \geq 0,$$

the k th order modulus of continuity of a function $f \in \mathbb{C}$.

Everywhere below,

$$k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (r+1) \in \mathbb{N}, \quad s \in \mathbb{N}, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}.$$

2.2. Whitney-Type Propositions

For $f \in \mathbb{C}^{(r)}$ the Whitney [14] inequality

$$E_{k+r-1}(f) \leq c(k, r) \omega_k(f^{(r)}; 1) \quad (2.1)$$

is well known, where $c(k, r) = \text{const}$, depending only on k and r ; see, e.g., (4.5) in [2, Chap. 6].

Here we formulate two Whitney-type propositions: the “strong” Proposition $W(k, r, s, q)$ and the “weak” Proposition $W(k, r, s, q, Y)$. Then we formulate two auxiliary propositions, the use of which will be discussed in the next Section 2.3. These four propositions sometimes are true, sometimes are false. In Theorem 2 we will clearly all cases where Whitney-type propositions are true or false. In Theorem 3 we will clearly the same for the auxiliary propositions. To illustrate Theorems 2 and 3 we formulate Theorem 1, which is a particular case, say the case ($s = 4, q = 6$).

PROPOSITION $W(k, r, s, q)$. *There exists a constant $B = B(k, r, s, q)$ such that for each $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$ and $f \in \mathbb{C}^{(r)} \cap \Delta^{(q)}(Y)$ we have*

$$E_{k+r-1}^{(q)}(f; Y) \leq B \omega_k(f^{(r)}; 1). \quad (2.2)$$

PROPOSITION $W(k, r, s, q, Y)$. *Let $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$. There exists a constant $B = B(k, r, s, q, Y)$ such that for each $f \in \mathbb{C}^{(r)} \cap \Delta^{(q)}(Y)$ the inequality (2.2) holds.*

PROPOSITION $A(k, r, s, q)$. *Propositions $W(k, r, m, q)$ are true for all $m = 1, \dots, s$.*

PROPOSITION $A(k, r, s, q, Y)$. *Let $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$. Propositions $W(k, r, m, q, Y_m)$ are true for all $m = 1, \dots, s$ and $Y_m \in \mathbb{Y}_m$ such that $Y_m \subseteq Y$.*

THEOREM 1. *Let $q = 6$ and $s = 4$. The truth table of Propositions $W(k, r, s, q)$, $W(k, r, s, q, Y)$, $A(k, r, s, q)$ and $A(k, r, s, q, Y)$ has the form*

r	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots
	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	\dots
$q+s$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	\dots
	$+$	$+$	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\dots
	$+$	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\dots
	$+$	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\oplus	\dots
q	$+$	\oplus	\oplus	\ominus	\ominus	\ominus	$-$	$-$	$-$	$-$	$-$	$-$	\dots
	$+$	\oplus	\ominus	\ominus	\ominus	$-$	$-$	$-$	$-$	$-$	$-$	$-$	\dots
	$+$	$+$	\oplus	\ominus	\ominus	\ominus	$-$	$-$	$-$	$-$	$-$	$-$	\dots
	$+$	$+$	$+$	\oplus	\ominus	\ominus	\ominus	$-$	$-$	$-$	$-$	$-$	\dots
2	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	\oplus	\ominus	\ominus	\ominus	$-$	$-$	$-$	$-$	\dots
1	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	\oplus	\ominus	\ominus	\ominus	$-$	$-$	$-$	\dots
0	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	\oplus	\ominus	\ominus	\ominus	\ominus	$-$	\dots
	1	2	3										k

where “ $+$ ” stands for the cases where Propositions $W(k, r, s, q)$ and $A(k, r, s, q)$ are true, and hence, for each $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$, Propositions $W(k, r, s, q, Y)$ and $A(k, r, s, q, Y)$ are true as well; “ \oplus ” stands for the cases where Propositions $W(k, r, s, q)$ and $A(k, r, s, q)$ are false, but Propositions $W(k, r, s, q, Y)$ and $A(k, r, s, q, Y)$ are true for each $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$; “ \ominus ” stands for the cases where Propositions $W(k, r, s, q)$ and $A(k, r, s, q)$ are false, Proposition $A(k, r, s, q, Y)$ is false for each $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$, but Proposition $W(k, r, s, q, Y)$ is true for each $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$; “ $-$ ” stand for the cases, where Propositions $W(k, r, s, q, Y)$ and $A(k, r, s, q, Y)$ are false for each $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$, and hence Propositions $W(k, r, s, q)$ and $A(k, r, s, q)$ are false as well.

We break up all collections (k, r, s, q) into four types.

DEFINITION 1. We will say that a collection (k, r, s, q) is of type “ $+$ ” iff $(k=1)$, or $(k+r \leq q)$, or $(q+s \leq r)$, or $(r=q+s-1, k=2)$; “ \ominus ”, iff $(r < q < r+k-1 < q+s)$, or $(r=q, 3 < k \leq s+2)$; “ $-$ ”, iff $(q+s-k < r < q)$, or $(r=q, k \geq s+3)$; “ \oplus ” in all other cases.

THEOREM 2. In the case of type “ $+$ ” Proposition $W(k, r, s, q)$ is true; in all other cases Proposition $W(k, r, s, q)$ is false. In the cases of type “ $-$ ” Proposition $W(k, r, s, q, Y)$ is false for each $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$; in all other cases Proposition $W(k, r, s, q, Y)$ is true for each $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$.

For $q=1$ Theorem 2 is known; see, e.g., [5, 12, 13]. For $q>1$ Theorem 2 follows from Lemmas 3.2, 3.5, 4.1, and 4.2 below.

THEOREM 3. *In the cases of type “+” Proposition $A(k, r, s, q)$ is true; in all other cases Proposition $A(k, r, s, q)$ is false. In the cases of types “ \ominus ” and “ $-$ ” Proposition $A(k, r, s, q, Y)$ is false for each $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$; in all other cases Proposition $A(k, r, s, q, Y)$ is true for each $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$.*

We shall not prove Theorem 3, since Theorem 3 is a trivial corollary of Theorem 2.

2.3. Jackson-Type Propositions

Everywhere below $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

PROPOSITION $J(k, r, s, q)$. *There exist two constants $B = B(k, r, s, q)$ and $N = N(k, r, s, q)$ such that for each $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$, $f \in \mathbb{C}^{(r)} \cap \Delta^{(q)}(Y)$, and $n \geq N$ we have*

$$E_n^{(q)}(f, Y) \leq B \frac{1}{n^r} \omega_k \left(f^{(r)}; \frac{1}{n} \right). \quad (2.3)$$

PROPOSITION $J(k, r, s, q, Y)$. *Let $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$. There exist two constant $B = B(k, r, s, q, Y)$ and $N = N(k, r, s, q, Y)$ such that for each $f \in \mathbb{C}^{(r)} \cap \Delta^{(q)}(Y)$ and $n \geq N$ the inequality (2.3) holds.*

In Section 4 we will prove

THEOREM 4. *In the cases of types “ \oplus ”, “ \ominus ”, and “ $-$ ” Propositions $J(k, r, s, q)$ is false. In the cases of types “ \ominus ” and “ $-$ ” Proposition $J(k, r, s, q, Y)$ is false for each $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$.*

For $q = 1$ Theorem 4 is known; see [5, 12, 13]. For the case ($r = 0$, $k > q + 1$) Theorem 4 follows by Zhou [15]. The first part of Theorem 4 is Lemma 4.1 below, the second part is Lemma 4.3.

Theorems 3 and 4 readily imply

THEOREM 5. *If Proposition $A(k, r, s, q)$ ($A(k, r, s, q, Y)$) is false, then Proposition $J(k, r, s, q)$ ($J(k, r, s, q, Y)$) is false as well*

Remark 1. For $q = 1$, Propositions $A(k, r, s, q)$ and $J(k, r, s, q)$ are equivalent; the same is true for Propositions $A(k, r, s, q, Y)$ and $J(k, r, s, q, Y)$. This follows by Newman [10], Iliev [6], Beatson and Leviatan [1], Shvedov [13], and Dzyubenko *et al.* [4], [5].

Remark 2. About Jackson-type propositions with $q > 1$, the authors know only one positive result. Kopotun *et al.* [7] proved the truth of Proposition $J(k, r, s, q, Y)$ for the case ($k + r \leq 3$, $q = 2$); moreover, they proved, that it holds with B or N independent of Y .

2.4. Some Relationships

In the sequel we will have constants c that may depend only on k, r, q, s , or some of these parameters. They may differ in different occurrences, even in the same line. We will denote by B_Y, B_Y^*, B_Y^{**} positive constants that depend only on k, r, s, q , and Y .

We denote by $L(x, f; t_0, \dots, t_m)$ the Lagrange polynomial of degree $\leq m$, that interpolates a function f at the points t_0, \dots, t_m .

Without special references we will often use the following well-known relations. The reader may find these relations in the monograph of DeVore and Lorentz [2].

For the divided differences $[t_0, \dots, t_m; f]$ we have (see [2, Chap. 4, (7.3), (7.7), and (7.4)])

$$[f_0, \dots, t_m; f] = \frac{f(t_m) - L(t_m, f; t_0, \dots, t_{m-1})}{(t_m - t_0) \cdots (t_m - t_{m-1})}.$$

If, for all $j = 1, \dots, m$, $t_j > t_{j-1}$ and $f(t_j) f(t_{j-1}) < 0$, then

$$f(t_m)[t_0, \dots, t_m; f] > 0.$$

If $t_j \in [a, b]$, $j = 0, \dots, m$, and $f \in \mathbb{C}^{(m)}(a, b)$, then

$$[t_0, \dots, t_m; f] = \frac{1}{m!} f^{(m)}(\theta), \quad \theta \in (a, b).$$

For the k th modulus of continuity $\omega_k(f; t)$ of a function $f \in \mathbb{C}$ we have (see [2, Chap. 2, (7.5), (7.13), (7.12)]) if $r > k$, then

$$\omega_r(f; t) \leq 2^{r-k} \omega_k(f; t),$$

whence

$$\omega_k(f; t) \leq 2^k \kappa \|f\|.$$

If $f \in \mathbb{C}^r$, then

$$\omega_{r+k}(f; t) \leq t^r \omega_k(f^{(r)}; t),$$

and

$$\omega_r(f; t) \leq t^r \|f^{(r)}\|.$$

For each polynomial $p_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$ we have (see [2, Chapter 4, (1.2)]), Markov's inequality

$$\|p'_n\| \leq n^2 \|p_n\|.$$

Dzyadyk's inequality [3], (see also [2, Chapter 8, (2.15)]). For each $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|p'_n \rho_n^{\gamma+1}\| \leq C(\gamma) \|p_n \rho_n^\gamma\|,$$

where $C(\gamma)$ depends only on γ , and

$$\rho_n(x) := \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{1-x^2}.$$

For $f \in \mathbb{C}^{(r)}$ and its polynomial of best approximation P_n^* , Leviatan's inequality [8], (see also [2, Chapter 8, (4.17)]),

$$\|(f^{(r)} - P_n^{*r}) \rho_n^r\| \leq \frac{c}{n^r} E_{n-r}(f^{(r)}),$$

holds. This implies for each polynomial $p_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$,

$$\|(f^{(r)} - p_n^{(r)}) \rho_n^r\| \leq \frac{c}{n^r} E_{n-r}(f^{(r)}) + c \|f - p_n\|, \quad (2.4)$$

since $c \|(P_n^{*(r)} - p_n^{(r)}) \rho_n^r\| \leq \|P_n^* - p_n\| \leq 2 \|f - p_n\|$.

We will also use the well-known inequality, for $f \in \mathbb{C}$ and $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{I}$,

$$\|f\| \leq c(b-a)^{1-k} (E_{k-1}(f) + \max_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x)|), \quad (2.5)$$

which is a consequence of the simple estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |P_{k-1}^*(x)| &\leq |P_{k-1}^*(x) - f(x)| + |f(x)| \\ &\leq E_{k-1}(f) + \max_{t \in [a, b]} |f(t)| =: \lambda, \quad x \in [a, b]. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, by [2, Chapter 2, (2.10)],

$$\|f\| \leq \|f - P_{k-1}^*\| + \|P_{k-1}^*\| \leq E_{k-1}(f) + c\lambda(b-a)^{1-k} \leq c\lambda(b-a)^{1-k}.$$

3. POSITIVE RESULTS

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$ and $k \leq q$. If $f \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y)$, then*

$$E_{k-1}^{(q)}(f; Y) \leq c\omega_k(f; 1),$$

where $c = c(k, 0)$ is the constant in Whitney inequality (2.1).

Proof. Since $k-1 < q$, then $E_{k-1}^{(q)}(f, Y) = E_{k-1}(f)$, hence by (2.1)

$$E_{k-1}^{(q)}(f, Y) = E_{k-1}(f) \leq c(k, 0) \omega_k(f; 1). \quad \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 3.2. *In the cases of type “+” Proposition $W(k, r, s, q)$ is true.*

Proof. We prove Lemma 3.2 by induction on q . Recall, for $q=1$ Theorem 2 is valid, hence Lemma 3.2 is valid as well. Assume that Lemma 3.2 is valid for some number $q-1 \geq 1$ and prove it for the number q . To this end we take a collection (k, r, s, q) of type “+”. If $r=0$, then Lemma 3.2 follows from Lemma 3.1. So let $r \neq 0$. Then by Definition 1 the collection $(k, r-1, s, q-1)$ is of type “+” as well, and hence our assumption implies, that Proposition $W(k, r-1, s, q-1)$ is true. Therefore, for each $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$ and $f \in \mathbb{C}^{(r)} \cap \mathcal{A}^{(q)}(Y)$,

$$E_{k+r-2}^{(q-1)}(f', Y) \leq B(k, r-1, s, q-1) \omega_k(f^{(r)}; 1), \quad (3.1)$$

since evidently $f' \in \mathbb{C}^{(r-1)} \cap \mathcal{A}^{(q-1)}(Y)$. For each polynomial $p_{k+r-1} \in \mathbb{P}_{k+r-1} \cap \mathcal{A}^{(q)}(Y)$ we have

$$p'_{k+r-1} \in \mathbb{P}_{k+r-2} \cap \mathcal{A}^{(q-1)},$$

$$p_{k+r-1} - p_{k+r-1}(0) + f(0) =: \tilde{p}_{k+r-1} \in \mathbb{P}_{k+r-1} \cap \mathcal{A}^{(q)}(Y),$$

$$f(x) - \tilde{p}_{k+r-1}(x) = \int_0^x (f'(u) - p'_{k+r-1}(u)) du,$$

whence

$$E_{k+r-1}^{(q)}(f, Y) \leq E_{k+r-2}^{(q-1)}(f', Y).$$

This inequality and (3.1) imply the truth of Proposition $W(k, r, s, q)$, with a constant $B(k, r, s, q) \leq B(k, r-1, s, q-1)$. \blacksquare

LEMMA 3.3. *Let $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$, $q > 1$ and $k = q + s$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}^{(q)}(Y)$, then*

$$E_{q-1}(f) \leq B_Y E_{k-1}(f).$$

Proof. Let us add to the points y_1, \dots, y_s some new points: put

$$y_0 =: 1, \quad y_{s+1} := y_s - \frac{y_s + 1}{q-1}, \quad y_{s+2} := y_s - 2 \frac{y_s + 1}{q-1}, \dots, y_{q+s-1} = -1.$$

Set

$$J_v := (y_v, y_{v-1}), \quad v = 1, \dots, s+q-1; \quad L(x) := L(x; f; y_0, \dots, y_{q+s-1}).$$

Note that there exists a least one number v^* such that

$$L^{(q)}(x) \Pi(x) \leq 0 \quad (3.2)$$

for all $x \in J_{v^*}$. Indeed, otherwise the derivative $L^{(q)}(x)$ would change the sign at least s times, but $\deg L^{(q)}(x) \leq s-1$.

Now let us divide the interval J_{v^*} by $q+2$ equidistant points $t_0 = y_{v^*}, \dots, t_{q+1} = y_{v^*-1}$. Put

$$p_{q-1}(x) := L(x; f; t_1, \dots, t_q), \quad g(x) := f(x) - p_{q-1}(x).$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{A}^{(q)}(Y)$, then $[x, t_1, \dots, t_q; f] \Pi(x) \geq 0$, $x \in J_{v^*}$, hence for $x \in J_{v^*}$ we get

$$g(x) \Pi(x) \prod_{j=1}^q (x - t_j) = \prod_{j=1}^q (x - t_j)^2 [t_1, \dots, t_q, x; f] \Pi(x) \geq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Put

$$L_*(x) := L(x; g; y_0, \dots, y_{q+s-1}); \quad T_j := (t_j, t_{j+1}), \quad j = 0, \dots, q.$$

Then there is a number j_* such that for $x \in T_{j_*}$.

$$L_*(x) \Pi(x) \prod_{j=1}^q (x - t_j) \leq 0. \quad (3.4)$$

For otherwise $q+1$ points $\theta_j \in T_j$ exist, such that $\theta_j > \theta_{j-1}$, $L_*(\theta_j) L_*(\theta_{j-1}) < 0$, $j = 1, \dots, q$, and $L_*(\theta_q) \Pi(\theta_q) > 0$, therefore

$$0 < [\theta_0, \dots, \theta_q; L_*] \Pi(\theta_q) = \frac{1}{q!} L_*^{(q)}(\theta) \Pi(\theta_q) \quad (3.5)$$

for some $\theta \in J_{v^*}$, but since $L_*^{(q)} \equiv L^{(q)}$ and $\Pi(\theta_q) \Pi(\theta) > 0$, then (3.5) contradicts (3.2).

It follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$g(x) L_*(x) \leq 0, \quad x \in T_{j_*}, \quad (3.6)$$

therefore one can write

$$|g(x)| \leq |g(x) - L_*(x)| = |f(x) - L(x)|, \quad x \in T_{j_*}.$$

Denote by $|J|$ the length of the shortest among intervals J_v , $v = 1, \dots, k-1$. Then, for each polynomial $P_{k-1} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|f(x) - L(x)| &= |(f(x) - P_{k-1}(x)) - L(x, f - P_{k-1}; y_0, \dots, y_{k-1})| \\
&\leq \|f - P_{k-1}\| \left(1 + k \left(\frac{2}{|J|}\right)^{k-1}\right) \\
&=: B_Y^* \|f - P_{k-1}\|, \quad x \in \mathbb{I},
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\|f - L\| \leq B_Y^* E_{k-1}(f),$$

whence

$$|g(x)| \leq B_Y^* E_{k-1}(f), \quad x \in T_{j*}. \quad (3.7)$$

Since the length of T_{j*} is greater than a constant B_Y^{**} , then (3.7) and (2.5) yield

$$\|g\| \leq B_Y E_{k-1}(f).$$

Thus

$$E_{q-1}(f) \leq \|f - p_{q-1}\| = \|g\| \leq B_Y E_{k-1}(f). \quad \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$, $q > 1$ and $k \leq q + s$. If $f \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y)$, then*

$$E_{k-1}^{(q)}(f; Y) \leq B_Y \omega_k(f; 1).$$

Proof. For $k \leq q$ Lemma 3.4 follows from Lemma 3.1. For $q < k \leq q + s$ Lemma 3.4 follows from Lemma 3.3, Whitney inequality (2.1) and obvious relationships

$$E_{q+s-1}(f) \leq E_{k-1}(f), \quad E_{k-1}^{(q)}(f; Y) \leq E_{q-1}^{(q)}(f; Y) = E_{q-1}(f). \quad \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 3.5. *In the case of type “+”, “ \oplus ” and “ \ominus ” Proposition $W(k, r, s, q, Y)$ is true for each $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$.*

One proves Lemma 3.5 in the same way as Lemma 3.2, applying Lemma 3.4 instead of Lemma 3.1.

4. NEGATIVE RESULTS

4.1. Cases “ \oplus ”

We will use the arguments from [5].

EXAMPLE 4.1. For every n and $A > 0$, and for each q, s , and $q - 1 \leq r \leq q + s - 2$, there is a collection $Y(n, r, A, s) =: Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$ and a function $f_{n,r,A} =: f \in \mathbb{C}^{(r)} \cap \mathcal{A}^{(q)}(Y)$ such that

$$E_n^{(q)}(f; Y) \geq A\omega_2(f^{(r)}; 1) \geq A2^{-k+2}\omega_k(f^{(r)}; 1), \quad k \geq 2. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. Without any loss of generality assume $n \geq r + 1$. We take $b \in (0, 1)$ so that

$$\frac{1}{4bn^{2(r+1)}} - \frac{b^r}{4(r+1)!} = A,$$

and fix an arbitrary collection Y of points y_i such that $-1 + b = y_1 > y_2 > \dots > y_s > -1$. Set

$$Q_{r+1}(x) := (x - y_1)^{r+1};$$

$$f(x) := (x - y_1)_+^{r+1} := \begin{cases} Q_{r+1}(x), & \text{if } x \geq -1 + b, \\ 0 & \text{if } x < -1 + b. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $f \in \mathbb{C}^{(r)} \cap \mathcal{A}^{(q)}(Y)$. For an arbitrary polynomial $p_n \in \mathcal{A}^{(q)}(Y) \cap \mathbb{P}_n$ put

$$R_n(x) := Q_{r+1}(x) - p_n(x)$$

and consider the divided difference $[y_1, \dots, y_{r+2-q}; R_n^{(q)}]$. Since $p_n \in \mathcal{A}^{(q)}(Y)$, then $p_n^{(q)}(y_i) = 0$, $i = \overline{1, r+2-q}$, whence $[y_1, \dots, y_{r+2-q}; p_n^{(q)}] = 0$. Besides, clearly,

$$[y_1, \dots, y_{r+2-q}; Q_{r+1}^{(q)}] = \frac{(r+1)!}{(r+1-q)!},$$

i.e.

$$[y_1, \dots, y_{r+2-q}; R_n^{(q)}] = \frac{(r+1)!}{(r+1-q)!}.$$

Hence there exists a point $\theta \in (-1, -1 + b)$ such that

$$R_n^{(r+1)}(\theta) = (r+1-q)! [y_1, \dots, y_{r+2-q}; R_n^{(q)}] = (r+1)!.$$

Reasoning similarly to Lorentz and Zeller [9] (see also Shvedov [13]), we apply Markov inequality and get

$$\begin{aligned} (r+1)! &= R_n^{(r+1)}(\theta) \leq \|R_n\| n^{2(r+1)} \\ &\leq n^{2(r+1)}(\|f - p_n\| + \|f - Q_{r+1}\|) = n^{2(r+1)}(\|f - p_n\| + b^{r+1}), \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\|f - p_n\| \geq \frac{(r+1)!}{n^{2(r+1)}} - b^{r+1}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\omega_2(f^{(r)}; 1) = \omega_2(f^{(r)} - Q_{r+1}^{(r)}; 1) \leq 2 \|f^{(r)} - Q_{r+1}^{(r)}\| = 4(r+1)! b.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\|f - p_n\|}{\omega_2(f^{(r)}; 1)} \geq \frac{1}{4bn^{2(r+1)}} - \frac{b^r}{4(r+1)!} = A. \quad \blacksquare$$

Remark. The corresponding example for $q=1$ was constructed by Shvedov [13].

COROLLARY. For each $q, r < q, s, n$ and $A > 0$ there is a collection $Y(n, A, s, q) =: Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$ and a function $f_{n, A, q} =: f \in \mathbb{C}^{(r)} \cap \Delta^{(q)}(Y)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} E_n^{(q)}(f; Y) &\geq A\omega_{q+1-r}(f^{(r)}; 1) \\ &\geq 2^{q+1-r-k} A\omega_k(f^{(r)}; 1), \quad k \geq q+1-r. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Indeed, for $r = q-1$ such function is constructed in Example 4.1; for $r < q-1$ one can take the same function and use the inequality $\omega_2(f^{(q-1)}; 1) \geq \omega_{q+1-r}(f^{(r)}; 1)$.

EXAMPLE 4.2. For every n and $A > 0$, and for each s and q , there is a collection $Y(n, A, s, q) =: Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$ and a function $f_{n, A, q}(x) =: f \in \mathbb{C}^{(r)} \cap \Delta^{(q)}(Y)$ such that

$$E_n^{(q)}(f; Y) \geq A\omega_3(f^{(r)}; 1) \geq A2^{-k+3}\omega_k(f^{(r)}; 1), \quad k \geq 3, \quad (4.3)$$

where $r = q + s - 1$.

Proof. Without any loss of generality we assume $n \geq r+2$. We take $b \in (0, 1)$ so that

$$\frac{1}{4(s+1)bn^{2(r+1)}} - \frac{b^r}{4(r+2)!} = A,$$

and fix an arbitrary collection Y of points y_i such that $-1 + b = y_1 > y_2 > \dots > y_s > -1$. Set

$$Q_{r+2}(x) := (x - y_1)^{r+2};$$

$$f(x) := (x - y_1)_+^{r+2} := \begin{cases} Q_{r+2}(x), & \text{if } x \geq -1 + b, \\ 0, & \text{if } x < -1 + b. \end{cases}$$

Obviously $f \in \mathbb{C}^{(r)} \cap \mathcal{A}^{(q)}(Y)$. For an arbitrary polynomial $p_n \in \mathbb{P}_n \cap \mathcal{A}^{(q)}(Y)$ put

$$R_n(x) := p_n(x) - Q_{r+2}(x)$$

and consider the divided difference $[y_1, \dots, y_{s+1}; R_n^{(q)}]$, where $y_{s+1} := -1$. Since $p_n \in \mathcal{A}^{(q)}(Y)$, then $p_n^{(q)}(y_i) = 0$, $i = 1, s$, whence

$$[y_1, \dots, y_{s+1}; p_n^{(q)}] = \frac{p_n^{(q)}(-1)}{\Pi(-1)} \geq 0.$$

Put

$$S(x) := \frac{(r+2)!}{(s+1)!} (x - y_{s+1}) \Pi(x)$$

and note that

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(s)}(x) - Q_{r+2}^{(q+s)}(x) \\ \equiv \frac{(r+2)!}{s+1} ((y_1 - y_2) + (y_1 - y_3) + \dots + (y_1 - y_{s+1})). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} -[y_1, \dots, y_{s+1}; Q_{r+2}^{(q)}] \\ = [y_1, \dots, y_{s+1}; s - Q_{r+1}^{(q)}] = \frac{1}{s!} (S^{(s)}(\theta) - Q_{r+2}^{(q+s)}(\theta)) \\ = \frac{(r+2)!}{(s+1)!} ((y_1 - y_2) + (y_1 - y_2) + \dots + (y_1 - y_{s+1})) \geq \frac{(r+2)!}{(s+1)!} b. \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists a point $\theta \in (-1, -1 + b)$ such that

$$R_n^{(r+1)}(\theta) = s! [y_1, \dots, y_{s+1}; R_n^{(q)}] \geq \frac{(r+2)!}{s+1} b.$$

Applying Markov inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(r+2)!}{s+1} b &\leq R_n^{(r+1)}(\theta) \leq \|R_n\| n^{2(r+1)} \\ &\leq (\|f - p_n\| + \|f - Q_{r+2}\|) n^{2(r+1)} \\ &= (\|f - p_n\| + b^{r+2}) n^{2(r+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\|f - p_n\| \geq \frac{b(r+2)!}{(s+1) n^{2(r+1)}} - b^{r+2}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\omega_3(f^{(r)}; 1) = \omega_3(f^{(r)} - Q_{r+2}^{(r)}; 1) \leq 8 \|f^{(r)} - Q_{r+2}^{(r)}\| = 4b^2(r+2)!.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\|f - p_n\|}{\omega_3(f^{(r)}; 1)} \geq \frac{1}{4b(s+1) n^{2(r+1)}} - \frac{b^r}{4(r+2)!} = A. \quad \blacksquare$$

Example 4.2, Example 4.2 and its Corollary lead to

LEMMA 4.1. *In the case of type “ \oplus ”, “ \ominus ” and “ $-$ ” Propositions $W(k, r, s, q)$ and $J(k, r, s, q)$ are false.*

4.2. Cases “ $-$ ”

Everywhere below we will use the following notations. For a fixed collection $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$ put

$$\Pi_1(x) := \Pi_1(x; Y) := \prod_{i=2}^s (x - y_i) \quad (= \Pi(x)/(x - y_1), x \neq y_1),$$

$$d := d(Y) := \frac{1}{2} \min\{1 - y_1, y_1 - y_2\},$$

if $s > 1$. If $s = 1$, then we put

$$\Pi_1(x) := 1, \quad d := d(Y) := \frac{1}{2}(1 - |y_1|).$$

Put

$$M_0 := M_0(Y) := \|\Pi_1\|, \quad M := M(Y) := \Pi_1(y_1)$$

and note,

$$0 < M \leq M_0 \leq 2^{s-1}.$$

EXAMPLE 4.3. For every n and $A > 0$, and for each $s, Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s, k > s + 1$ and q , there is a function $f(x) = f(x; q, k, n, Y, A)$ such that $f \in \mathcal{A}^{(q)}(Y) \cap \mathbb{C}^{(q-1)}$ and

$$E_n^{(q)}(f; Y) > A\omega_k(f^{(q-1)}; 1). \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. Without any loss of generality assume $n \geq k + q - 2$. For a fixed $b \in (0, d)$ set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{s+q}(x) &:= q_{s+q}(x; b) := \frac{1}{(q-1)!} \int_{y_1}^x (x-u)^{q-1} (u-y_1-b) \Pi_1(u) du; \\ (x-y_1-b)^* &:= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in [y_1, y_1+b], \\ x-y_1-b, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \\ g(x) &:= g(x; b) := \frac{1}{(q-1)!} \int_{y_1}^x (x-u)^{q-1} (y-y_1-b)^* \Pi_1(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $g \in \mathcal{A}^{(q)}(Y) \cap \mathbb{C}^{(q-1)}$. For an arbitrary polynomial $p_n \in \mathbb{P}_n \cap \mathcal{A}^{(q)}(Y)$ put

$$r_n(x) := p_n(x) - Q_{s+q}(x)$$

and observe that

$$r_n^{(q)}(y_1) = -Q_{s+q}^{(q)}(y_1) = b\Pi_1(y_1) = bM. \quad (4.5)$$

Applying Markov inequality

$$\|r_n^{(q)}\| \leq n^{2q} \|r_n\|$$

we get

$$bM = r_n^{(q)}(y_1) \leq n^{2q} \|r_n\|,$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{bM}{n^{2q}} &\leq \|r_n\| \leq \|p_n - g\| + \|g - Q_{s+q}\| \\ &\leq \|p_n - g\| + \frac{2^{q-1}M_0}{(q-1)!} \int_{y_1}^{y_1+b} (y_1+b-u) du \leq \|p_n - g\| + M_0b^2, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\|p_n - g\| \geq \frac{bM}{n^{2q}} - M_0b^2 = \frac{bM}{n^{2q}} \left(1 - \frac{M_0bn^{2q}}{M}\right). \quad (4.6)$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_k(g^{(q-1)}; 1) &= \omega_k(g^{(q-1)} - Q_{s+q}^{(q-1)}; 1) \leq 2^k \|g^{(q-1)} - Q_{s+q}^{(q-1)}\| \\ &= 2^k \int_{y_1}^{y_1+b} (b + y_1 - u) \Pi_1(u) du \leq 2^{k-1} M_0 b^2.\end{aligned}\quad (4.7)$$

Now, in order to prove (4.4) we take

$$b_n := \frac{1}{2^k} \frac{Md}{M_0(A+1)} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2q}, \quad f(x) := g(x; b_n),$$

and note that $b_n < d$. It follows from (4.6) and (4.7) that

$$\frac{\|p_n - f\|}{\omega_k(f^{(q-1)}; 1)} \geq \frac{b_n M}{n^{2q}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{1}{2^{k-1} b_n^2 M_0} = \frac{A+1}{d} > A. \quad \blacksquare$$

COROLLARY. For each $s, q, Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s, r < q, k > q + s - r, n$ and $A > 0$ there is a function $f(x) = f(x; q, r, k, n, Y, A)$ such that $f \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y) \cap \mathbb{C}^{(r)}$ and

$$E_n^{(q)}(f; Y) > A \omega_k(f^{(r)}; 1). \quad (4.8)$$

Indeed, for $r = q - 1$ such function is constructed in Example 4.3; for $r < q - 1$ one can take the same function and use the inequality $\omega_{k+r+1-q}(f^{(q-1)}; 1) \geq \omega_k(f^{(r)}; 1)$.

EXAMPLE 4.4. For every n and $A > 0$, and for each $s, Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s, k > s + 2$ and q , there is a function $f(x) = f(x; q, k, n, Y, A)$ such that $f \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y) \cap \mathbb{C}^{(q)}$ and

$$E_n^{(q)}(f; Y) > A \omega_k(f^{(q)}; 1). \quad (4.9)$$

Proof. Without any loss of generality assume $n \geq k + q - 1$. For a fixed $b \in (0, d)$ set

$$\begin{aligned}Q_{s+q+2}(x) &:= Q_{s+q+2}(x; b) \\ &:= \frac{1}{(q-1)!} \int_{y_1}^x (x-u)^{q-1} ((u-y_1)^2 - b^2) \Pi(u) du; \\ ((x-y_1)^2 - b^2)_+ &:= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (x-y_1)^2 \leq b^2 \\ (x-y_1)^2 - b^2, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \\ g(x) &:= g(x; b) \\ &:= \frac{1}{(q-1)!} \int_{y_1}^x (x-u)^{q-1} ((u-y_1)^2 - b^2)_+ \Pi(u) du.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $g \in \mathcal{A}^{(q)}(Y) \cap \mathbb{C}^{(q)}$. For an arbitrarily polynomial $p_n \in \mathbb{P}_n \cap \mathcal{A}^{(q)}(Y)$ put

$$r_n(x) := p_n(x) - Q_{s+q+2}(x).$$

Since $p_n \in \mathcal{A}^{(q)}(Y)$, then $p_n^{(q+1)}(y_1) \geq 0$, whence

$$\begin{aligned} r_n^{(q+1)}(y_1) &= p_n^{(q+1)}(y_1) - Q_{s+q+2}^{(q+1)}(y_1) \\ &\geq -Q_{s+q+2}^{(q+1)}(y_1) = b^2 \Pi'(y_1) = b^2 \Pi_1(y_1) = b^2 M. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Applying Markov inequality

$$\|r_n^{(q+1)}\| \leq n^{2(q+1)} \|r_n\|,$$

we get

$$Mb^2 \leq \|r_n^{(q+1)}\| \leq n^{2(q+1)} \|r_n\|,$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Mb^2}{n^{2(q+1)}} &\leq \|r_n\| \leq \|p_n - g\| = \|g - Q_{s+q+2}\| \\ &\leq \|p_n - g\| + \frac{2^{q-1}M_0}{(q-1)!} \int_{y_1}^{y_1+b} (b^2 - (u - y_1)^2)(u - y_1) du \\ &< \|p_n - g\| + M_0 b^4, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the identity $\Pi(u) = (u - y_1) \Pi_1(u)$. Hence

$$\|p_n - g\| \geq \frac{Mb^2}{n^{2(q+1)}} - M_0 b^4 = \frac{Mb^2}{n^{2(q+1)}} \left(1 - \frac{M_0 b^2 n^{2(q+1)}}{M} \right). \quad (4.11)$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_k(g^{(q)}; t) &= \omega_k(g^{(q)} - Q_{s+q+2}^{(q)}; t) \\ &\leq 2^k \|g^{(q)} - Q_{s+q+2}^{(q)}\| < 2^{k-1} M_0 b^3. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

In order to prove (4.9) we take

$$b_n := \frac{Md}{M_0 2^k (A+1)} \left(\frac{1}{n} \right)^{2(q+1)}, \quad f(x) := g(x; b_n),$$

and note that $b_n < d$. It follows from (4.11) and (4.12) that

$$\frac{\|p_n - f\|}{\omega_k(f^{(q)}; 1)} \geq \frac{b_n^2 M}{n^{2(q+1)}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{1}{2^{k-1} b_n^3 M_0} = \frac{A+1}{d} > A. \quad \blacksquare$$

Example 4.4, Example 4.3 and its Corollary lead to

LEMMA 4.2. *In the cases of type “—” Propositions $W(k, r, s, q, Y)$ and $J(k, r, s, q, Y)$ are false for each $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$.*

Thus the proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

To end the proof of Theorem 4 we have to consider cases of type “ \ominus ”.

4.3. Cases “ \ominus ”

Remark, we do not have the cases of type “ \ominus ” when $s = 1$.

EXAMPLE 4.5. For every n and for each $s \neq 1$, $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$, $k > 2$ and q , there is a function $f(x) := f(x; k, n, q, Y)$ such that $f \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y) \cap \mathbb{C}^{(q-1)}$ and

$$E_n^{(q)}(f; Y) > B_Y n^{(k/2)-1} \frac{1}{n^{q-1}} \omega_k\left(f^{(q-1)}; \frac{1}{n}\right). \quad (4.13)$$

Proof. We use the notation of Example 4.3 and repeat its arguments up to (4.5). Thus we have

$$r_n^{(q)}(y_1) = bM.$$

Using Dzyadyk inequality

$$r_n^{(q)}(y_1) \rho_n^q(y_1) \leq c \|r_n^{(q-1)} \rho_n^{q-1}\|,$$

and Leviatan inequality (2.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} bM \rho_n^q(y_1) &\leq c \|r_n^{(q-1)} \rho_n^{q-1}\| \\ &\leq c \|(p_n^{(q-1)} - g^{(q-1)}) \rho_n^{q-1}\| + c \|(g^{(q-1)} - Q_{s+q}^{(q-1)}) \rho_n^{q-1}\| \\ &\leq c \|p_n - g\| + \frac{c}{n^{q-1}} E_{n-q+1}(g^{(q-1)}) \\ &\quad + c \|\rho_n^{q-1}\| \|g^{(q-1)} - Q_{s+q}^{(q-1)}\| \\ &\leq c \|p_n - g\| + \frac{c}{n^{q-1}} \|g^{(q-1)} - Q_{s+q}^{(q-1)}\| \leq c \|p_n - g\| + \frac{cb^2}{n^{q-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_k\left(g^{(q-1)}; \frac{1}{n}\right) &\leq \omega_k\left(g^{(q-1)} - Q_{s+q}^{(q-1)}; \frac{1}{n}\right) + \omega_k\left(Q_{s+q}^{(q-1)}; \frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &\leq 2^k \|g^{(q-1)} - Q_{s+q}^{(q-1)}\| + \frac{1}{n^k} \|Q_{s+q}^{(q-1+k)}\| \\ &\leq c\left(b^2 + \frac{1}{n^k}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\|p_n - g\| n^{q-1}}{\omega_k\left(g^{(q-1)}; \frac{1}{n}\right)} &\geq \frac{cbM\rho_n^q(y_1) n^{q-1} - c\left(b^2 + \frac{1}{n^k}\right)}{c\left(b^2 + \frac{1}{n^k}\right)} - c^* \\ &> \frac{cbM(1 - y^2)^{q/2}}{n\left(b^2 + \frac{1}{n^k}\right)} - c^* =: 4B_Y \frac{b}{n\left(b^2 + \frac{1}{n^k}\right)} - c^*,\end{aligned}$$

where we used the inequality $\rho_n(y_1) > \sqrt{1 - y_1^2}/n$. Now, to prove (4.13) let us take

$$b_n := \frac{1}{n^{k/2}}, \quad f(x) := g(x; b_n).$$

So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\|p_n - f\| n^{q-1}}{\omega_k\left(f^{(q-1)}; \frac{1}{n}\right)} &\geq 2B_Y n^{(k/2)-1} - c^* \\ &= B_Y n^{(k/2)-1} \left(2 - \frac{c^*}{B_Y n^{(k/2)-1}}\right) \geq B_Y n^{(k/2)-1}\end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq N := N(Y)$, where the integer N is chosen so that

$$c^* \leq B_Y N^{(k/2)-1}, \quad b_N < d, \quad N \geq k + q - 2.$$

Thus for $n \geq N(Y)$ the inequality (4.13) is proved. For $n < N(Y)$ (4.13) follows from the inequality $E_n^{(q)}(f; Y) \geq E_N^{(q)}(f; Y)$. ■

COROLLARY. For each $s \neq 1$, $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$, $q, r < q, k > q - r + 1$ and n there is a function $f(x) = f(x; q, k, r, n, Y)$ such that $f \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y) \cap \mathbb{C}^{(r)}$ and

$$E_n^{(q)}(f; Y) > B_Y n^{(k+r-1-q)/2} \frac{1}{n^r} \omega_k \left(f^{(r)}; \frac{1}{n} \right) \geq B_Y \sqrt{n} \frac{1}{n^r} \omega_k \left(f^{(r)}; \frac{1}{n} \right).$$

Indeed, for $r = q - 1$ such function is constructed in Example 4.5; for $r < q - 1$ one can take the same function and use the inequality $t^{q-1-r} \omega_{k+r+1-q}(f^{(q-1)}; t) \geq \omega_k(f^{(r)}; t)$.

EXAMPLE 4.6. For every n and for each $s \neq 1$, $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$, $k > 3$ and q , there is a function $f(x) := f(x; Y, k, n, q)$ such that $f \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y) \cap \mathbb{C}^{(q)}$ and

$$E_n^{(q)}(f; Y) > B_Y n^{(k/3)-1} \frac{1}{n^q} \omega_k \left(f^{(q)}; \frac{1}{n} \right). \quad (4.16)$$

Proof. We use the notation of the Example 4.4 and repeat its argument up to (4.10). Thus we have

$$r_n^{(q+1)}(y_1) \geq b^2 M.$$

Using Dzyadyk inequality

$$r_n^{(q+1)}(y_1) \rho_n^{q+1}(y_1) \leq c \|r_n^{(q)} \rho_n^q\|,$$

and Leviatan inequality (2.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} b^2 M \rho_n^{q+1}(y_1) &\leq c \|r_n^{(q)} \rho_n^q\| \\ &\leq c \|(p_n^{(q)} - g^{(q)}) \rho_n^q\| + c \|(g^{(q)} - Q_{s+q+2}^{(q)}) \rho_n^q\| \\ &\leq c \|p_n - g\| + \frac{c}{n^q} E_{n-q}(g^{(q)}) + c \|\rho_n^q\| \|g^{(q)} - Q_{s+q+2}^{(q)}\| \\ &\leq c \|p_n - g\| + \frac{c}{n^q} \|g^{(q)} - Q_{s+q+2}^{(q)}\| \leq c \|p_n - g\| + \frac{cb^3}{n^q}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_k \left(g^{(q)}; \frac{1}{n} \right) &\leq \omega_k \left(g^{(q)} - Q_{s+q+2}^{(q)}; \frac{1}{n} \right) + \omega_k \left(Q_{s+q+2}^{(q)}; \frac{1}{n} \right) \\ &\leq 2^{k-1} M_0 b^3 + \frac{1}{n^k} \|Q_{s+q+2}^{(q+k)}\| \leq c \left(b^3 + \frac{1}{n^k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\|p_n - g\| n^q}{\omega_k\left(f^{(q)}; \frac{1}{n}\right)} &\geq \frac{cM\rho_n^{q+1}(y_1) b^2 n^q - c\left(b^3 + \frac{1}{n^k}\right)}{c\left(b^3 + \frac{1}{n^k}\right)} \\
 &=: \frac{cM\rho_n^{q+1}(y_1) b^2 n^q}{c\left(b^3 + \frac{1}{n^k}\right)} - c^* \\
 &> cM(1 + y_1)^{(q+1)/2} \frac{b^2}{n\left(b^3 + \frac{1}{n^k}\right)} - c^* \\
 &=: 4B_Y \frac{b^2}{n\left(b^3 + \frac{1}{n^k}\right)} - c^*.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, in order to prove (4.14) we take

$$b_n := \frac{1}{n^{k/3}}, \quad f(x) := g(x; b_n).$$

So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\|p_n - f\|}{\omega_k\left(f^{(q)}; \frac{1}{n}\right)} &> 2B_Y n^{(k/3)-1} - c^* \\
 &= B_Y n^{(k/3)-1} \left(2 - \frac{c^*}{B_Y n^{(k/3)-1}}\right) \geq B_Y n^{(k/3)-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq N := N(Y)$, where the integer N is chosen so that

$$c^* \leq B_Y N^{(k/3)-1}, \quad b_N < d, \quad N \geq k + q - 1.$$

Thus for $n \geq N(Y)$ the inequality (4.14) is proved. For $n < N(Y)$ (4.14) follows from the inequality $E_n^{(q)}(f; Y) \geq E_N^{(q)}(f; Y)$. ■

Lemma 4.2, Example 4.6, Example 4.5 and its Corollary lead to

LEMMA 4.3. *In the cases of type “ \ominus ” and “ $-$ ” Proposition $J(k, r, s, q, Y)$ is false for each $Y \in \mathbb{Y}_s$.*

Theorem 4 is proved.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are indebted to Professors J. Gilewicz and I. A. Shevchuk for their permanent attention and help in publishing preprint [11]. We thank Professor D. Leviatan and the referees for many important remarks.

REFERENCES

1. R. K. Beatson and D. Leviatan, On comonotone approximation, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **26** (1983), 220–224.
2. R. A. DeVore and G. F. Lorentz, “Constructive Approximation,” Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
3. V. K. Dzyadyk, On a constructive characteristic of functions, satisfying Lipschitz condition $Lip\alpha$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) on a finite intercept of a straight line [in Russian], *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Math.* **20** (1956), 623–642.
4. G. A. Dzyubenko, J. Gilewicz, and I. A. Shevchuk, Piecewise monotone pointwise approximation, *Constr. Approx.* **14** (1998), 311–348.
5. J. Gilewicz and I. A. Shevchuk, Comonotone approximation [in Russian], *Fund. Prikladnaya Math.* **2** (1996), 319–363.
6. G. L. Iliev, Exact estimates for partially monotone approximation, *Anal. Math.* **4** (1978), 181–197.
7. K. Kopotun, D. Leviatan, and I. A. Shevchuk, The degree of coconvex polynomial approximation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1999), 409–415.
8. D. Leviatan, The behavior of the derivatives of the algebraic polynomials of best approximation, *J. Approx. Theory* **35** (1983), 169–176.
9. G. G. Lorentz and K. L. Zeller, Degree of approximation by monotone polynomials, II, *J. Approx. Theory* **2** (1969), 265–269.
10. D. J. Newman, Efficient comonotone approximation, *J. Approx. Theory* **25** (1979), 189–192.
11. M. Pleshakov and A. V. Shatalina, Piecewise coapproximation and Whitney’s inequality, preprint CPT 95/P.3204, Luminy, Marseille, 1995.
12. I. A. Shevchuk, Whitney’s inequality and coapproximation, *East J. Approx.* **1** (1995), 479–500.
13. A. S. Shvedov, Orders of coapproximation of functions by algebraic polynomials, *Math. Zametki* **29** (1981), 117–130; English transl. in *Math. Notes* **29** (1981), 63–70.
14. H. Whitney, On functions with bounded n th differences, *J. Math. Pures. Appl.* **6** (1957), 67–95.
15. S. P. Zhou, On comonotone approximation by polynomials in L^p space, *Analysis* **13** (1993), 363–376.